

# DCP 313 Working Group Meeting 09

11 September 2018 at 10:00am  
Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
<b>Working Group Members</b>	
Alessandra De Zottis [ADZ]	UK Power Reserve
Andrew Enzor [AE]	Northern Powergrid
Anika Brandt [AB]	SSEN
Chris Ong [CO]	UK Power Networks
Dave Wornell [DW]	WPD
Julia Haughey [JH]	EDF Energy
<b>Code Administrator</b>	
John Lawton [JL] (Chair)	ElectraLink
Hollie Nicholls [HN] (technical secretariat)	ElectraLink

Apologies	Company
Simon Yeo	WPD

## 1. Administration

- 1.1 The Chair welcomed the members to the meeting.

- 1.2 The Working Group reviewed the “Competition Law Do’s and Don’ts”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Laws Do’s and Don’ts for the duration of the meeting.
- 1.3 The Working Group reviewed the minutes from the previous meeting, held on 16 August 2018 and agreed that they were a true reflection of the discussions held.

## 2. Purpose of the Meeting

---

- 2.1 The Chair set out that the purpose of the meeting is to review DCP 313 second draft consultation document to ensure that it is finalised before TNEI circulate their impact assessment report.

## 3. Review of DCP 313 draft second consultation

---

- 3.1 The Working Group reviewed the consultation and updated the draft during the meeting. A copy of the latest version can be found as attachment 1.
- 3.2 The Working Group reviewed paragraph 3.9 and agreed that this should be moved to the advantages and disadvantages sub-section in section 4 of the document instead. This paragraph will also need a further review once the impact assessment has been received from TNEI to ensure that it is factually correct.
- 3.3 Paragraph 4.9 was also discussed as the Working Group though the wording was incorrect. It was agreed that UKPN’s response to question 5 of the first consultation would benefit from being included here.
- 3.4 Within the section on mixed sites, it was agreed that a new question should be included to future proof the change and to ensure that the Working Group has not missed any unforeseen circumstances within this area. The Working Group agreed to include:  
  
*“Are there any further implications of mixed sites on the solutions of this DCP which the Working Group have not addressed? Please provide your rationale.”*
- 3.5 The Chair questioned whether the Working Group wanted to retain both the bullet points and table within section 4 which sets out the eligibility criteria for Options 1 and 1A. The Working Group agreed that both should be retained.
- 3.6 One Working Group member questioned whether a question should be included on the intent of the change due to feedback from the first consultation which raised concerns in this area. The Chair highlighted that it was not necessary as the second consultation states that the Working Group had sought to change the intent but the DCUSA Panel were comfortable with the original intent.
- 3.7 The Secretariat agreed to update the consultation document to reflect the discussions held and circulate to Working Group members alongside the meeting minutes.

- 3.8 The Working Group also discussed the timescales relating to TNEI' impact assessment report and it was confirmed that the Working Group had three-weeks following receipt of receiving their final report to submit one set of consolidated comments.
- 3.9 The Secretariat agreed to chase TNEI for an indicative date for when they may receive the report so that a further Working Group meeting can be arranged.

#### **ACTION 09/02: ElectraLink**

## **4. Work Plan and Next Steps**

---

- 4.1 The Working Group reviewed the work plan and agreed the following next steps:
- The Secretariat to update consultation document to reflect the discussions held and circulate to Working Group members alongside the meeting minutes;
  - The Secretariat to chase an indicative date from TNEI on when the Working Group can expect to see the final results of the impact assessment;
  - The Secretariat to share the TNEI with the Working Group once it has been received;
  - Working Group members to provide their feedback on the report with 5 working days; and
  - The Secretariat to organise a further Working Group meeting to discuss the impact assessment and Working Group feedback and update the consultation document to reflect the impact assessment results.

## **5. Any Other Business**

---

- 5.1 There were no items of AOB and the Chair closed the meeting.

## **6. Date of Next Meeting – TBD**

---

- 6.1 The Working Group agreed that the next Working Group meeting will need to be determined once the final report from TNEI has been received. Potential dates will be circulated once the date of receiving the report is known.

## **7. Attachments**

---

- Attachment 1 – DCP 313 Updated Second Consultation Document.

## New and open actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
09/01	The Secretariat to update the second consultation document to reflect the discussions held and circulate to Working Group members alongside meeting minutes	ElectraLink	
09/02	The Secretariat to chase TNEI to request an indicative date for when the Working Group could expect to receive the impact assessment report	ElectraLink	

## Closed actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
07/01	AE agreed to forward details of what he believes the non-binary solution would not address in regards to mixed sites	Andrew Enzor	Action Closed
07/02	AE agreed to review the proposed legal text to ensure that the drafting in Option 2B is doing what it is intended to do	Andrew Enzor	Action Closed – confirmed that the legal text is doing what it is intending to do
08/01	ElectraLink to liaise with AE regarding updates on actions 07/01 and 07/02	ElectraLink	Action Closed
08/02	ADZ to review the consultation document and ensure that the tone is appropriate and update where necessary	Alessandra De Zottis	Action Closed
08/03	ElectraLink to include a footnote in the consultation to include a link to the DCUSA Panel paper where the Working Group had requested a change to the intent of the CP	ElectraLink	Action Closed

<b>08/04</b>	ElectraLink to include a link to ENA's DNO Guidance document within the consultation document and the Working Group to ensure that it is appropriate	ElectraLink/Working Group members	Action Closed
<b>08/05</b>	The Chair to update paragraph 4.21 of the consultation document to ensure that the RFI responses regarding mixed site billing were correct.	ElectraLink	Action Closed
<b>08/06</b>	The Chair to update the table to ensure that Option 1 and Option 1A were factually correct when referring to the eligibility of mixed sites.	ElectraLink	Action Closed
<b>08/07</b>	The Chair to review DCUSA Schedule 17 and 18 and ensure that the information contained in paragraph 4.40 was accurate and update the consultation document where necessary.	ElectraLink	Action Closed
<b>08/08</b>	Working Group members to circulate their view on which DCUSA Charging Objectives Option 1, Option 1A and Option 2B better facilitates	All WG members	Action Closed – Discussed during the meeting
<b>08/09</b>	ElectraLink to review the TNEI proposal and determine the timescales for submitting clarifying questions and comments	ElectraLink	Action Closed – TNEI will accept one set of consolidated comments on the report no later than three-weeks after receiving receipt.